## **36. Metal Complexes with Macrocyclic Ligands**

Part XXXV<sup>1</sup>)

## **Stabilities of Some Bivalent Metal-Ion Complexes with 1,4,7-Triazacyclononane-l-acetic Acid**

by **Hui-Zhi Cai** and **Thomas A. Kaden\*** 

Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Spitalstr. 51, CH-4056 Basel

(4.XII.92)

The stability constants of the  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ , and  $Cd^{2+}$  complexes with 1,4,7-triazacylononane-1acetic acid (noma) were determined at  $25^{\circ}$  and  $0.5M$  (KNO<sub>3</sub>) by potentiometric titrations. The species [ML] and  $[ML(OH)]$  were found in all cases except for  $Ni^{2+}$ , whereas  $[ML_2]$  was only detected for  $Cd^{2+}$ . For the complexes [ML], the order of stability is  $Mn^{2+} < Cd^{2+} < Pb^{2+} < Zn^{2+} < Ni^{2+} < Cu^{2+}$ , which is consistent with the *Irving*-*Williams* series.

**Introduction.** - Functionalization of azamacrocycles through side chains with ligating groups leads to new compounds which possess interesting properties with respect to their complexation with metal ions *[2].* Several studies on tetrasubstituted tetraazamacrocycles have appeared *[3],* but much less is known on the complexation of mono-N-substituted derivatives. The preparation of such compounds is relatively easy, when a carboxylic group as for **1,4,7-triazacyclononane-** 1 -acetic acid (noma) is introduced in the side chain [4]. noma has an additional ligating group compared to the parent compound 1,4,7-triazacyclononane ( $[9]$ ane $N_1$ ), for which the stability of the complexes with some bivalent metal ions  $(Cu^{2+}, Ni^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, Pb^{2+}, and Hg^{2+})$  was investigated [5–7]. For [9]aneN<sub>3</sub>, the permutation of the *Irving-Williams* order [8] for the Cu<sup>2+</sup>- and  $Ni^{2+}$  complexes was found **[6].** 

There is only one study on the stability constants and on the structure of the  $Cu^{2+}$ complexes with noma [9]. Thereby the species [CuL],  $[CuL(OH)]$ ,  $[CuL<sub>2</sub>]$ , and



 $1<sub>1</sub>$ Part XXXIV: [1].

 $[(CuL), (OH)]$  were found, and  $[(CuL)(ClO<sub>a</sub>)]$  and  $[(CuL), (OH)(ClO<sub>a</sub>)]$  were characterized by X-ray structure analysis. It was, therefore, interesting to pursue the study of the complexes of noma with other bivalent metal ions.

Experimental. - The ligand **1,4,7-triazacyclononane-l-acetic** acid (noma) was synthesized as described in **[4].**  All other reagents, being of anal. grade *(Merck),* were used without further purification. The potentiometric titrations were performed at 25° and  $I = 0.5M$  (KNO<sub>3</sub>) with 0.4*M* NaOH. The titrations of the Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, and  $Mn^{2+}$  complexes were run under  $N_2$  on an automatic microprocessor-controlled potentiometric titrator, as reported in [10]. The equilibration of the  $Ni<sup>2+</sup>$  complexes, being too slow for the automatic titrator, was measured using a batch technique, in which premixed solns. of Ni<sup>2+</sup> and ligand at different pH values were kept in a thermostated water bath for **2** d, until constant pH values were reached. The pH electrode was calibrated with two buffer solns. of pH **4** and of pH **7** and checked daily. The concentrations of noma and of the metal ions are listed in *Table 1.* 

| $M^{2+}$             | $C_{\rm L} \cdot 10^3$ [M] | $C_{\rm M} \cdot 10^3$ [M] | $C_M/C_L$ [%] |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| $Mn^{2+}$            | 3.99                       | 3.55                       | 96            |
|                      | 3.99                       | 1.77                       | 44            |
|                      | 1.95                       | 1.42                       | 73            |
| $Ca^{2+}$            | 3.87                       | 3.69                       | 95            |
|                      | 3.48                       | 1.41                       | 41            |
|                      | 1.93                       | 1.77                       | 92            |
| $Pb^{2+}$            | 3.89                       | 3.55                       | 91            |
|                      | 3.89                       | 2.13                       | 55            |
|                      | 1.95                       | 1.42                       | 73            |
| $Zn^{2+}$            | 3.95                       | 3.54                       | 90            |
|                      | 4.00                       | 2.83                       | 71            |
|                      | 3.86                       | 1.77                       | 46            |
|                      | 2.00                       | 1.77                       | 89            |
| $Ni2+$               | 1.94                       | 1.75                       | 90            |
|                      | 1.93                       | 0.98                       | 51            |
|                      | 1.88                       | 1.69                       | 90            |
| no $\mathbf{M}^{2+}$ | 3.92                       |                            |               |
|                      | 3.93                       |                            |               |

Table 1. *Concentrations of Metal Ions*  $(C_M)$  *and noma*  $(C_I)$  *for the Potentiometric pH Titrations* 

**Results and Discussion.** – The protonation constants of the ligand and the stability constants of the complexes were evaluated by fitting a set of two-to-four titration curves with the program TITFIT [10] [11]. A typical example showing the quality of the fit is given in *Fig. 1.* 

The definitions of the protonation and stability constants of the species used are given in *Eqns. 1-4* (charges omitted).

$$
LH_{n-1} + H^+ \rightleftharpoons LH_n \qquad K_n (n = 1-3) \qquad (1)
$$

$$
M + L \rightleftharpoons ML \qquad K_{[ML]} \qquad (2)
$$

$$
[ML] + L \rightleftharpoons [ML_2] \qquad K_{[ML_2]}
$$
 (3)

$$
[ML(OH)] + H^+ \rightleftharpoons [ML] \qquad K_{[ML(OH)]} \tag{4}
$$



Fig. 1. Potentiometric titration curves of the Pb<sup>2+</sup> complexes with noma ( $\blacksquare$ :  $C_L = 3.89 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M,  $C_M = 3.55 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M;<br>  $\blacktriangledown$ :  $C_L = 3.89 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M,  $C_M = 2.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M;  $\Box$ :  $C_L = 1.95 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M,  $C_M = 1.42 \cdot$ 

The protonation constants obtained in this work (Table 2) are in good agreement with those reported in [9].

|                | $\log K_1$ | $\log K_2$ | $log K_1$ | $log K_{[ML]}$ | $\log K_{[ML2]}$   | $\log K_{\rm [MLOH]}$ | $\sigma_{\rm ml} \cdot 10^3$ |
|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| noma           | 11.44(1)   | 7.46(2)    | 2.81(3)   |                |  |                       | 1.4                          |
| noma [9]       | 11.47      | 7.45       | 2.82      |                |  |                       |                              |
| $Mn^{2+}$      |            |            |           | 8.53(1)        |  | 11.02(1)              | 2.2                          |
| $Cd^{2+}$      |            |            |           | 11.67(1)       | 4.96(2)  | 11.01(1)              | 1.5                          |
| $Pb^{2+}$      |            |            |           | 13.11(1)       |  | 12.34(1)              | 1.6                          |
| $Zn^{2+}$      |            |            |           | 14.24(1)       |  | 10.59(1)              | 2.0                          |
| $Ni2+$         |            |            |           | 17.85(3)       |  |                       | 2.3                          |
| $Cu^{2+}$ [9]  |            |            |           | 18.99(1)       | 5.79(1)  | $9.39(1)^{a}$         |                              |
| a <sub>)</sub> |            |            |           |                | In addition to [MLOH], the dimeric species [M <sub>2</sub> L <sub>2</sub> OH] was also found for Cu <sup>2+</sup> [9]. |                       |                              |

Table 2. Protonation and Stability Constants of the Complexes with noma at 25° and I = 0.5 M ( $KNO_3$ )

In the metal-ligand titrations, [ML] is usually the dominant species over a wide pH range, although, at high pH, [ML(OH)] is also present in most cases. Only for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, it was difficult to ascertain, which other species besides [NiL] is present at  $pH > 10$ , because only few experimental points were available, as limited by the batch technique.

559

The magnitude of  $\sigma_{ml}$  indicates that only the model with the species [ML] and [ML(OH)] fits the experimental data best for  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ . Test with other models gave no improvement of the fit.

 $[ML]$  was only found for  $Cd^{2+}$ . The model with additional species such as  $[CdL, H]$ slightly improved the fit ( $\sigma_{ml} = 1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ ) over the model with the species [CdL], [CdL<sub>2</sub>], and [CdL(OH)] ( $\sigma_{\text{m}} = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ ). However, since [CdL<sub>2</sub>H] is only formed to less than 5% and the F-test [I21 indicates no significant improvement of the fit, we consider the simpler model as the best one.

The interpretation of the equilibria for  $Pb^{2+}$  is somewhat ambiguous. The model with only one species [PbL] gave a poor fit of the experimental data, as shown in *Table 3.* **A**  reasonable fit, however, could be achieved when a second species was added.

| [PbL]    | [PbLOH]  | $[{\rm PbL}_2]$ | [Pb <sub>2</sub> L <sub>2</sub> OH] | $\sigma_{\text{ml}} \cdot 10^3$ |
|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $\times$ |          |                 |                                     | 3.2                             |
| $\times$ | $\times$ |                 |                                     | 1.5                             |
| $\times$ |          |                 | ×                                   | 1.7                             |
| $\times$ |          | $\times$        |                                     | 2.8                             |
| $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$        |                                     | 1.4                             |
| $\times$ | $\times$ |                 | $\times$                            | 1.4                             |
| $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$        | $\times$                            | 1.2                             |

Table 3. *Results of the Calculations with Different Models for Pb2'* 

The model with [PbL] and [PbL(OH)] fits the pH titrations best among those with only two species. The addition of a third or even a fourth complex to the model slightly decreased the standard deviation, but again the F-test shows no significance and the species are formed to less than 5%. Thus, the model with [PbL] and [PbL(OH)] is to be preferred over the other ones.

The species distribution *(Fig. 2)* shows that [PbL(OH)] starts to be formed at relatively high pH value and exists up to only 15% under the experimental conditions.

*Table 2* reveals that for the complexes [ML] the order of stability is  $Mn^{2+} < Cd^{2+} <$  $Pb^{2+} < Zn^{2+} < Ni^{2+} < Cu^{2+}$ , which is consistent with the *Irving-Williams* series Mn<sup>2+</sup>  $Ni^{2+} < Cu^{2+} < Zn^{2+}$  [8].

It is interesting to compare the stability constants of noma with those of the openchain analogues sdtma and udtma and of the parent ligand [9]aneN, *(Table 4).* The stability constants of [ML] for  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  are about one order of magnitude larger for noma than for the open-chain ligands sdtma and udtma, while that for  $Ni<sup>2+</sup>$  is about three orders of magnitude larger. The small macrocyclic effect observed for [CuL] with noma

Table 4. *Stability Constants of the Complexes with sdtma [13],*  $\mu$ *dtma [13],*  $\mu$ *and*  $\mu$  *[9]* $\mu$ *ane* $N_3$  *[14]*  $\mu$ *t*  $25^\circ$  *and*  $I = 0.1$  *M* 

|                 |                     | $Mn^{2+}$ | $Cd^{2+}$ | $Pb^{2+}$ | $Zn^{2+}$ | $Ni^{2+}$ | $Cu^{2+}$ |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| sdtma           | $log K_{[ML]}$      |           |           |           | 13.13     | 14.37     | 18.07     |
| udtma           | $\log K_{\rm [ML]}$ |           |           |           | 12.94     | 14.40     | 17.29     |
| $[9]$ ane $N_3$ | $\log K_{\rm [ML]}$ | 5.8       | 9.5       | 10.3      | 11.3      | 12.4      | 15.4      |
|                 | $log K_{[ML2]}$     | 3.6       | 8.4       | 5.1       | 9.2       | 6.8       | 12.01     |



**■**:  $Pb^{2+}$ ; +: [ $PbL$ ]; **▼**: [ $PbL(OH)$ ].

was interpreted as a consequence of the very favorable facial coordination of the three N-atoms of the ligand [9]. noma, which has one pendant arm, probably meets the geometrical requirement of  $M^{2+}$  ( $M^{2+} = Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ , Ni<sup>2+</sup>) better than the open-chain ligands sdtma and udtma.



In the case of [9]aneN<sub>1</sub>, the permutation of the *Irving-Williams* order for the stability of [CuL] and [NiL] and no macrocyclic effect for [CuL] was found [6]. This is interpreted by the fact that  $[9]$  ane $N<sub>3</sub>$  is sterically constrained to facial coordination, while meridional coordination is preferred by  $Cu^{2+}$ . This results in a destabilization of [CuL] with respect to  $[NiL]$ .

Two conclusions can be drawn from the comparison of the results shown in Table 2 and 4. First, in the present work [ML] and [ML(OH)] were generally found, whereas  $[ML_2]$  was only detected for Cd<sup>2+</sup>. In contrast, for [9]aneN<sub>3</sub> the complexes  $[ML_2]$  are present in all cases and  $[ML(OH)]$  was only observed for  $Cu^{2+}[6]$ . Secondly, the introduction of an additional ligating group into  $[9]$ ane $N_3$ , leads to an increase in the stability for [ML] and a decrease in the stability for [ML,]. This can be understood by the fact that the carboxylate group of the side chain can form an additional bond in [ML], but hinders the formation of [ML,] by steric constraints.

This work was supported by the *Swiss National Science Foundation* (project No. **20-32137.91).** 

## REFERENCES

- [l] **A.** Schiegg, A. Riesen, Th.A. Kaden, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1991, 74, 1689.**
- **[2]** Th. A. Kaden, *Topics Curr. Chem. 1984,121,* **157.**
- **[3]** A. Stetter, **W.** Frank, *Angew. Chim.* **1976,88,780;** *S.* Buoen, **J.** Dale, P. Groth, P. Krane, *J. Chem.* Soc., *Chem. Commun.* **1977,1172;** H. Hafliger, Th. A. Kaden, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1979,62,683;** K.P. Wainwright, *J. Chem.*  Soc., *Dalton Trans.* **1980,2117; A.** Stetter, W. Frank, R. Mertens, *Tetrahedron* **1981,37,767;** I. Murase, M. Mikuriya, **H.** Sonoda, **S.** Kida, *J. Chem Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1984, 692; A.** Riesen, M. Zehnder, Th. A. Kaden, *Helv. Chim. Acta.* **1986,69,2067; I.** Murase, M. Mikuriya, H. Sonoda, Y. Fukuda, **S.** Kida, *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1986, 953;** A. Riesen, M. Zehnder, Th.A. Kaden, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C* **1988,** *44,*  **1740.**
- **[4]** M. Studer, Th. A. Kaden, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1986,68, 2081.**
- **[5]** M. Kodama, **E.** Kimura, *J. Chem.* Soc., *Dalton Trans.* **1978, 1081.**
- **[6] R. Yang, L.J. Zompa,** *lnorg. Chem.* **<b>1976,** 15, 1499.
- **[7] L.J.** Zompa, *Inorg. Chem.* **1978,17. 2531.**
- *[8]* **H.** Irving, R. J. P. Williams, *J. Chem.* **SOC. 1953,3192.**
- [9] M. Studer, A. Riesen, Th. A. Kaden, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1989**, 72, 307.
- [lo] **H.** Gampp, M. Maeder, A. D. Zuberbiihler, Th. A. Kaden, *Talunta* **1980,27, 513.**
- **[Ill** A. D. Zuberbuhler, Th.A. Kaden, *Talanta* **1982,29,201.**
- **[12]** Ph. R. Bevington, 'Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences', McCraw-Hill, New York, **1969.**
- **[I31** C. McLendon, D.T. MacMillan, M. Hdriharan, **A.** E. Martell, *Inorg. Chem.* **1975,14, 2322.**
- **[I41** M.R. Squillante, Ph. D. Thesis 1980, Tufts University, MA, mentioned in Ph. Chaudhuri, K. Wieghardt, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1987,35, 329.**